that now everybody who is dishonest is no longer going to be dishonest. Those who are honest will be kept honest. And the legislators, once again, will have bowed down to this attitude that the presumption of thievery and dishonesty attaches to anybody as soon as they're in the Legislature. But it doesn't attach to the Governor, it doesn't attach to the members of the Supreme Court or anybody else involved in government, only us because we accept it, we encourage it, and I guess we get what we deserve. But I like to separate myself from the oatmeal people with spines of Jello.

SENATOR WARNER: Time, Senator Chambers. At this break, Senator Robinson has guests from Tekamah, 20 members of the Emerald Club, Burt County State Bank, Donna Mock, Director, in the south balcony. If you're still here, would you please stand. Thank you for being here. We also have 15 members of the Nebraska Development Awareness Team, William Cauldwell, Director. They are from various places across the state. If that group would also stand, if you're still here. Yes. Nice to have you here. Now, Senator Beutler, Schmit, Withem and Morrissey. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I just wanted to make a couple of small points. First of all, this matter is probably becoming larger than it should be. the only reason it has come for further discussion is simply because LB 232, as it was originally drafted, eliminated with to the category of honorarium the language about consideration of equal or greater value which applied to gift, and honorarium was included under gift in the former law. all we're doing is coming back and restoring, in a slightly different manner, hopefully, a little better defined manner, what was in the current law. And then there is another section of the bill that requires some reporting that wasn't there before. But the part that we're dealing with in this amendment, basically, is a restoration, as I read it, of the current law. Now anybody here can imagine dozens and dozens of different situations where the question of honoraria or honorarium may come up. And it may be difficult, in some of those situations, to make a determination of what the language of the law means. I make the analogy on a question like this to something like an intersection accident. If I just say to you, well, there was an intersection accident, who was wrong? You say, are you crazy? me more about it. Now what happened? Who...what did the driver coming from the west do? How fast was he going? Was he